

ON THE STRUCTURE OF LEPTONIC FAMILIES AND CURRENTS OF THE VECTOR NATURE

Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences,
Tashkent, 702132 Ulugbek, Uzbekistan

Any of leptonic neutrino similarly to a kind of lepton has a Dirac mass responsible as well as for its Coulomb's behavior. Such a neutrino can possess both electric charge and vector dipole moment. Their form factor appears, for example, at the polarized neutrinos scattering in the field of a spinless nucleus. We derive an equation which relates the masses to a ratio of Dirac and Pauli form factors of each lepton and its neutrino. A new theory of fermions unification is suggested. In this theory, the leptons and their neutrinos are united in families not only of the left - handed $SU(2)_L$ - doublets but also of the right - handed $SU(2)_R$ - singlets. Thereby it predicts the existence in nature of the left (right) dileptons and paradileptons. A formation of any of them is responsible for the legality of conservation of charge, lepton flavors and full lepton number. Therefore, each of earlier measured processes originated at the conservation both of summed electric charge and of any lepton number may serve as the first confirmation of a given theory, in which the mass, charge and vector moment of the neutrino proportionally respectively to the mass, charge and vector moment of lepton of the same family.

1. Introduction

In studying the structure of fundamental interactions of electrons and their neutrinos such characteristics as the Dirac $F_{1l}(q^2)$ and Pauli $F_{2l}(q^2)$ form factors [1] play a large role. An explicit form of these functions remains thus far not finally established. It is usually accepted that $F_{1l}(q^2)$ and $F_{2l}(q^2)$ correspond to the electric and magnetic components of the vector leptonic ($l = e, \mu, \tau, \dots$ or $\nu_l = \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau, \dots$) currents. However, according to the micro world symmetry laws [2], the presence of any type of an electrically charged particle implies the existence of a kind of magnetically charged monoparticle. It is fully possible therefore that the corresponding mononeutrinos lead to quantization of the electric charges of all the neutrinos. Of course, under such circumstances well known electromagnetic field is described as the field of the unified system of the photon and monophoton where the two forces of the electric and magnetic nature are united [2].

Furthermore, if it turns out that a non - zero interaction of Pauli arises as a consequence of the availability of Dirac interaction [3], the form factor $F_{2l}(q^2)$ may not be magnetic. To characterize it from point of view of an electrically charged particle one must use a new tangle. We need therefore to call $F_{2l}(q^2)$ an electric vector moment. Similar terminology becomes groundless for a magnetically charged monolepton ($l = l_H$) when $F_{2l_H}(q^2)$ fulfils the function of magnetic form factor of this monofermion.

The difference in nature of elementary particles and monoparticles appears because of the duality that the mass and charge correspond to the two form of the same regularity of the structure of matter [4].

Such a sight to the appearance of an intimate connection between the mass of the neutrino and its electric charge [5] quality explains the fact that both mass m_l and charge e_l of a particle are strictly multicomponent. Any of them contains as well as the electric (E), weak (W) and strong (S) parts. They constitute herewith the naturally united rest mass m_l^U and charge e_l^U of the same Dirac particle [4] equal to its all the mass and charge:

$$m_l = m_l^U = m_l^E + m_l^W + m_l^S + \dots, \quad (1)$$

$$e_l = e_l^U = e_l^E + e_l^W + e_l^S + \dots \quad (2)$$

This picture reflects the availability of an interratio of intralepton forces of a different nature. Therefore, each of form factors $F_{il}(q^2)$ come forwards in

the system as the Fourier transform of the spatial density of corresponding interaction. One can present their in general form [3]:

$$F_{il}(q^2) = f_{il}(0) + A_{il}(\vec{q}^2) + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where terms $f_{il}(0)$ define the normal values of the electric charge and vector dipole moment:

$$f_{1l}(0) = e_l^{norm}, \quad f_{2l}(0) = \mu_l^{norm}. \quad (4)$$

Here and further the size of e_l^{norm} for the lepton (antilepton) has the negative (positive) sign.

The functions $A_{il}(\vec{q}^2)$ characterize the anomalous components of form factors, the values of which for $\vec{q}^2 = 0$ must be equal to

$$A_{1l}(0) = e_l^{anom}, \quad A_{2l}(0) = \mu_l^{anom}. \quad (5)$$

At these data, $F_{1l}(0)$ and $F_{2l}(0)$ coincide with full static electric charge and vector dipole moment:

$$F_{1l}(0) = e_l = e_l^{full} = e_l^{norm} + e_l^{anom} + \dots, \quad (6)$$

$$F_{2l}(0) = \mu_l = \mu_l^{full} = \mu_l^{norm} + \mu_l^{anom} + \dots \quad (7)$$

One of fundamental regularities in nature of form factors is an individual connection between the corresponding characteristics of their structure [3]. They have the form

$$f_{2l}(0) = \frac{f_{1l}(0)}{2m_l^{norm}}, \quad (8)$$

$$A_{2l}(0) = \frac{A_{1l}(0)}{2m_l^{anom}}, \quad (9)$$

where m_l^{norm} and m_l^{anom} denote the normal and anomalous parts of lepton all the electric mass:

$$m_l^E = m_l^{norm} + m_l^{anom} + \dots \quad (10)$$

According to the recent presentations about the nature of fermions, all the leptons constitute the united families of the left - handed $SU(2)_L$ - doublets:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu^- \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau^- \end{pmatrix}_L, \dots, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_e \\ e^+ \end{pmatrix}_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_\mu \\ \mu^+ \end{pmatrix}_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_\tau \\ \tau^+ \end{pmatrix}_R, \dots \quad (12)$$

However, a question about the leptonic $SU(2)_R$ - singlets remains thus far not finally investigated. It is usually assumed that unlike $e_R^-(e_L^+)$, $\mu_R^-(\mu_L^+)$ and $\tau_R^-(\tau_L^+)$, their right (left) - handed particles have no neither weak, electromagnetic nor strong interaction, although possess a non - zero rest mass.

The presentations (11) and (12) were based on the fact that each of Dirac neutrinos (antineutrinos) corresponds to a kind of lepton (antilepton) [6]. Their family must distinguish from others by definite type of lepton numbers [7, 8]. Herewith the three ($l = e, \mu, \tau$) numbers are rewritten to any particle:

$$L_l = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{for } l_L^-, l_R^-, \nu_{lL}, \nu_{lR}, \\ -1 & \text{for } l_R^+, l_L^+, \bar{\nu}_{lR}, \bar{\nu}_{lL}, \\ 0 & \text{for remaining particles.} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Conservation of full lepton number

$$L_e + L_\mu + L_\tau = \text{const.} \quad (14)$$

or lepton flavors

$$L_l = \text{const.} \quad (15)$$

in the β - decay processes

$$n^\pm \rightarrow p^\pm e^\mp \bar{\nu}_e(\nu_e), \quad p^\pm \rightarrow n^\pm e^\pm \nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e), \quad (16)$$

$$\mu^\mp \rightarrow e^\mp \bar{\nu}_e(\nu_e) \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu), \quad \tau^\mp \rightarrow e^\mp \bar{\nu}_e(\nu_e) \nu_\tau(\bar{\nu}_\tau) \quad (17)$$

as well as in others the most diverse reactions with neutrinos is by no means excluded experimentally [9]. But its legality has not yet been ground theoretically [10, 11, 12].

At our sight such an order takes place owing to the unified nature, similarity and the difference of each pair of structural particles. Therefore, to understand the family structure of fermions at the fundamental dynamical level one must elucidate whether there exists a connection between properties of leptons of the same families of doublets or singlets, if yes, what an expected dependence says about the completeness of the vector picture of massive Dirac neutrinos and about the legality of conservation of charge, lepton flavors and full lepton number. The answer to this question one can

obtain by studying the interactions with virtual photons of longitudinal light leptons. At such a situation, the processes on the nuclear targets [5, 13, 14] may serve as the source of unique information.

The purpose of a given work is to elucidate the nature of family structure of leptons investigating their Dirac and Pauli interactions with field of emission in the presence of fermions longitudinal polarization. First of all a question about the appearance of an individual connection of normal parts of the electric mass, charge and vector dipole moment of the neutrino and electron at the elastic scattering on a spinless nucleus has been considered. At the use of the interaction cross section with nuclei, the united dependence between Dirac and Pauli form factors of the electron and its neutrino has been obtained. Next, we listed some consequences and logical implications implied from these discussions which give the possibility to directly look at the nature of conservation of charge and each lepton number.

2. Individual Connection of the Vector Currents of the Neutrino and Electron

The amplitude of elastic scattering of light leptons by nuclei in the limit of one - photon exchange may be presented as

$$M_{fi}^E = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{q^2} \bar{u}(p', s') [\gamma_\mu f_{1l}(0) - i\sigma_{\mu\lambda} q_\lambda f_{2l}(0)] u(p, s) < f | J_\mu^\gamma(q) | i > . \quad (18)$$

Here $\sigma_{\mu\lambda} = [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\lambda]/2$, $l = e = e_{L,R}$ or $\nu = \nu_e = \nu_{eL,R}$, $q = p - p'$, p and p' imply the four - momentum of incoming and outgoing particles, s and s' denote their helicity, J_μ^γ is the nucleus electric current [15].

For the case of spinless nuclei with an electric charge Z , the cross section of the considered process on the basis of (18) one can write in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, s, s')}{d\Omega} &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_o^l (1 - \eta_l^2)^{-1} \{ (1 + ss') f_{1l}^2 + \\ &+ \eta_l^2 (1 - ss') [f_{1l}^2 + 4(m_l^{norm})^2 (1 - \eta_l^{-2})^2 f_{2l}^2] t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2} \} F_E^2(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where it has been accepted that

$$\sigma_o^l = \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}}{4E_l^2 (1 - \eta_l^2) \sin^4 \frac{\theta_l}{2}}, \quad \eta_l = \frac{m_l^{norm}}{E_l},$$

$$E_l = \sqrt{p^2 + (m_l^{norm})^2}, \quad F_E(q^2) = ZF_c(q^2),$$

$$q^2 = -4E_l^2(1 - \eta_l^2)\sin^2\frac{\theta_l}{2}.$$

Here θ_l is the scattering angle, E_l and m_l are the particle normal electric mass and energy, $F_c(q^2)$ is the nucleus charge ($F_c(0) = 1$) form factor. The index V_l implies the absence of the neutrino axial - vector currents.

The availability of terms $(1 + ss')$ and $(1 - ss')$ indicates to the existence of Coulomb's interactions of the left ($s = -1$) and right ($s = +1$) polarized neutrinos, such as the conserving ($s' = s$) and changing ($s' = -s$) their helicity. It is convenient therefore to reduce (19) to the following:

$$d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, s) = d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s) + d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s), \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s)}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s' = s)}{d\Omega} + \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s' = -s)}{d\Omega} =$$

$$= \sigma_o^l(1 - \eta_l^2)^{-1}(1 + \eta_l^2 tg^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2})f_{1l}^2 F_E^2(q^2), \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s)}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s' = -s)}{d\Omega} =$$

$$= 4(m_l^{norm})^2 \sigma_o^l(1 - \eta_l^2)\eta_l^{-2}f_{2l}^2 F_E^2(q^2)tg^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}. \quad (22)$$

Making the averaging over s and summing over s' , we can also present (19) in the form

$$d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l) = d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}) + d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}), \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l})}{d\Omega} = \sigma_o^l(1 - \eta_l^2)^{-1}(1 + \eta_l^2 tg^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2})f_{1l}^2 F_E^2(q^2), \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l})}{d\Omega} = 4(m_l^{norm})^2 \sigma_o^l(1 - \eta_l^2)\eta_l^{-2}f_{2l}^2 F_E^2(q^2)tg^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}. \quad (25)$$

Thus, it follows that (19) constitutes the two class of the vector cross sections namely

$$d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, s) = \{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s), \quad d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s)\}, \quad (26)$$

$$d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l) = \{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}), \quad d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l})\}. \quad (27)$$

Comparing (20) and (23), it is not difficult to see that

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l)} = 1. \quad (28)$$

Insertion of (20) and (23) in (28) leads us to the conclusion that the interrations of possible pairs of elements from sets (26) and (27) allow to establish the six different ratios. Among them one can meet the following:

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l})}, \quad \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l})}, \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s)}, \quad \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l})}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l})}. \quad (30)$$

As well as the availability of the two remaining solutions, the equality to unity of each of these ratios is by no means excluded logically.

Here it is relevant once again to remind about the presence in (19) of the term $(1 - ss')$ which assumed that the right - handed neutrinos similarly to the left - handed ones can essentially interact with field of emission. As a consequence, the possibility of the existence in the field of a nucleus of inter-conversions $\nu_L \leftrightarrow \nu_R$ and $\bar{\nu}_R \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_L$ is nohow excluded. However, according to the standard electroweak model based on the extending group $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$, in neutrino does not exist the $\nu_L \nu_R$ - interaction arising at the expense of fermion mass. Therefore, to establish the full spin structure of the above - mentioned system of equations and to use the neutrino helicity conservation or nonconservation in studying its properties one must elucidate the nature of corresponding mass responsible for these transitions.

But at a given stage we can start from

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s)} = 1, \quad \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l})}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l})} = 1. \quad (31)$$

These equalities is a consequence of the unified regularity of Coulomb's nature of leptons that the usual Dirac interaction come forwards in the system as the source of a non - zero interaction of Pauli. In other words, any of (31) is valid only for those particles which possess simultaneously both charges and vector dipole moments.

At the explicit values of cross sections (21), (22), (24) and (25), it suggests the following connection of parameters

$$4(m_l^{norm})^2 \frac{f_{2l}^2(0)}{f_{1l}^2(0)} = \frac{\eta_l^2(1 + \eta_l^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2})}{(1 - \eta_l^2)^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}}. \quad (32)$$

Turning to (32) and by choosing the limit $E_l \rightarrow m_l$, at which $\eta_l \rightarrow 1$ we get, after the disclosure of uncertainties, such as

$$\lim_{\eta_l \rightarrow 1} \frac{\eta_l^2(1 + \eta_l^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2})}{(1 - \eta_l^2)^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}} = 1$$

the corresponding dependence of form factors

$$2m_l^{norm} \frac{f_{2l}(0)}{f_{1l}(0)} = \pm 1. \quad (33)$$

Thus, the absence of one of functions $f_{1l}(0)$ or $f_{2l}(0)$ implies that both do not exist at all, consequently, their size is connected with a particle mass.

3. United Dependence of the Vector Form Factors of the Neutrino and Electron

We have seen that between the structural parts of Dirac and Pauli vector currents of leptons there exists an individual connection [5]. Such a regularity, however, meets with a problem which states that the equality to unity of each of ratios (29) and (30) is strictly non - verisimilar. Thus, equation (33) implied from (31) would seem does not correspond to the reality at all. It can be easily convinced, however, that this is not so.

Here an important circumstance is the fact that regardless of the size of corresponding cross sections, any of ratios (29) and (30) for the lepton and its neutrino coincides. But not all of them one can use for any physically definite purposes. The point is that the same fermion may not be simultaneously both unpolarized and longitudinal polarized particles. Furthermore, if massive neutrinos are of left - helicities, they suffer a scattering either with or without flip of the spin.

But we can apply to (30) namely to the equalities

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_{\nu_l}}(\theta_{\nu_l}, f_{2\nu_l}, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_{\nu_l}}(\theta_{\nu_l}, f_{1\nu_l}, s)} = \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l}, s)}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l}, s)}, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_E^{V_{\nu_l}}(\theta_{\nu_l}, f_{2\nu_l})}{d\sigma_E^{V_{\nu_l}}(\theta_{\nu_l}, f_{1\nu_l})} = \frac{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{2l})}{d\sigma_E^{V_l}(\theta_l, f_{1l})}. \quad (35)$$

These connections arise because of that $f_{1l}(0)$ and $f_{2l}(0)$ correspond to the two form of the same regularity of the nature of lepton normal Coulomb's interaction. In other words, the absence of one of them would imply that both leptons and their neutrinos do not possess neither electric mass, charge nor vector dipole moment.

Together with (21), (22), (24) and (25) they replace (32) for

$$(m_{\nu_l}^{norm})^2 \frac{f_{2\nu_l}^2(0)}{f_{1\nu_l}^2(0)} \frac{\eta_l^2(1 + \eta_l^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2})}{(1 - \eta_l^2)^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}} = (m_l^{norm})^2 \frac{f_{2l}^2(0)}{f_{1l}^2(0)} \frac{\eta_{\nu_l}^2(1 + \eta_{\nu_l}^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_{\nu_l}}{2})}{(1 - \eta_{\nu_l}^2)^2 t g^2 \frac{\theta_{\nu_l}}{2}}. \quad (36)$$

By following the same arguments that allow to establish an individual dependence (33), one can found from (36) that

$$m_{\nu_l}^{norm} \frac{f_{2\nu_l}(0)}{f_{1\nu_l}(0)} = \pm m_l^{norm} \frac{f_{2l}(0)}{f_{1l}(0)}. \quad (37)$$

Unification of (37) with (8) at $l = \nu_l$ leads us once again to (33) confirming the fact that the existence of an individual as well as of the united connections of the structural components of leptons and their neutrinos scattering cross sections is by no means excluded naturally.

From point of view of the standard electroweak theory of elementary particles [16], the anomalous part of electronic neutrino vector dipole moment

$$A_{2\nu_e}(0) = \frac{A_{1\nu_e}(0)}{2m_{\nu_e}^{anom}} \quad (38)$$

arises as a result of one - loop phenomenon [17].

Its value is, according to our description, connected with the neutrino anomalous electric mass $m_{\nu_e}^{anom}$ and equal to

$$A_{2\nu_e}(0) = \mu_{\nu_e}^{anom} = \frac{3eG_F m_{\nu_e}^{anom}}{8\pi^2 \sqrt{2}}, \quad e = |e_e^{norm}|. \quad (39)$$

On the basis of (38) and (39) it is obtained that the neutrino anomalous electric charge has the size

$$e_{\nu_e}^{anom} = -A_{1\nu_e}(0) = -\frac{3eG_F (m_{\nu_e}^{anom})^2}{4\pi^2 \sqrt{2}}. \quad (40)$$

Here it is relevant once again to note that the Coulomb's mass and charge of the neutrino correspond to the two form of the same regularity of its Dirac nature. For this reason we conclude that

$$m_{\nu_e}^E = m_{\nu_e}^{norm} + m_{\nu_e}^{anom} + \dots, \quad (41)$$

$$e_{\nu_e}^E = e_{\nu_e}^{norm} + e_{\nu_e}^{anom} + \dots \quad (42)$$

The solutions (39) - (42) together with equations (6) and (7) give the possibility to understand the fact [5] that the neutrino full electric charge and vector dipole moment in the static limit behave as

$$e_{\nu_e} = e_{\nu_e}^{full} = -\frac{3eG_F(m_{\nu_e}^E)^2}{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}, \quad (43)$$

$$\mu_{\nu_e} = \mu_{\nu_e}^{full} = \frac{3eG_F m_{\nu_e}^E}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}}. \quad (44)$$

At first sight unlike μ_{ν_e} , the size of e_{ν_e} contains not only the contributions of normal and anomalous masses but also the contribution of their interference between themselves. On the other hand, as follows from considerations of symmetry, the number of terms in (43) and (44) must coincide. At the same time the neutrino itself can have simultaneously both normal and anomalous Coulomb's interactions. This becomes possible owing to the unified nature of their structure.

If now taken into account that any of currents $F_{1l}(q^2)$ and $F_{2l}(q^2)$ arises at the availability of the electric mass, no doubt that m_l is strictly multicomponent vector size [18]. We cannot therefore exclude the possibility that

$$m_l^2 = |\vec{m}_l^E|^2 = (m_l^{norm})^2 + (m_l^{anom})^2 + \dots \quad (45)$$

Such a picture leading to the flip of the neutrino spin [13, 19] predicts the absence of contribution of the interference of Dirac and Pauli vector form factors in all the processes with these currents.

4. Conclusion

Our analysis shows that between normal parts of the electric charge and vector dipole moment of any lepton and its neutrino there exists an individual [5] as well as the united dependence. These connections, however, meet with many problems which require the elucidation of the ideas of each of them.

According to one of the dynamical aspects of mass - charge duality [4], the force of gravity of the Newton F_N between the two neutrinos may be expressed through the force of the Coulomb F_C among these particles, and vice versa. Uniting their expression with (43) and taking into account that $F_C > F_N$, we find [5]

$$m_{\nu_e}^E > 1.53 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}, \quad (46)$$

$$e_{\nu_e}^E > 1.46 \cdot 10^{-30} \text{ e}. \quad (47)$$

Basing on the comparatively new β - decay experiment, it was found [20] that $m_{\nu_e} < 2.5 \text{ eV}$. Insertion of this value in (43) gives $e_{\nu_e} < 3.92 \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ e}$. These sizes together with (46) and (47) predict the following restrictions on the neutrino electric mass and charge:

$$1.53 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV} < m_{\nu_e}^E < 2.5 \text{ eV}, \quad (48)$$

$$1.46 \cdot 10^{-30} \text{ e} < e_{\nu_e}^E < 3.92 \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ e}. \quad (49)$$

The presented here discrepancies are not casual. They reflect the highly characteristic features of compound structure of mass and charge that there are many uncertainties in the behavior as well as in the size of the experimentally observed properties of the neutrino.

Returning to (29) and (30), we remark that their equality in the processes both with lepton and with its neutrino corresponds in nature to the definite type of lepton numbers. In other words, each of them is valid only for particles of the same flavors.

According to this thought, the structural connection (37) reflects the co-existence of leptons and their neutrinos. As a consequence, any of possible types ($l = e, \mu, \tau, \dots$) of leptons testifies in favor of the existence of a kind of the Dirac ($\nu_l = \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau, \dots$) neutrino. They constitute therefore the naturally united families of leptons.

Such a regularity, however, takes place in force of the unified nature of each pair of fermions that the absence of any of lepton and its neutrino, as stated (37), would imply that neither exists.

At this situation, earlier measured properties of particles $e_R^-(e_L^+)$, $\mu_R^-(\mu_L^+)$ and $\tau_R^-(\tau_L^+)$ may serve as a certain indication to the existence simultaneously of each of the right (left) - handed lepton (antilepton) and its neutrino (antineutrino) but not of one of them.

In a given case, from (37) we are led to the fundamental important consequence of the micro world symmetry that the number of components in all types of massive properties must coincide [21].

It is already clear from said that leptons and their neutrinos constitute the naturally united families both of the left - handed $SU(2)_L$ - doublets and of the right - handed $SU(2)_R$ - singlets. We can therefore define their compound structure in general form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_e, e^-)_R, \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu^- \end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_\mu, \mu^-)_R, \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau^- \end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_\tau, \tau^-)_R, \dots, \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_e \\ e^+ \end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_e, e^+)_L, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_\mu \\ \mu^+ \end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_\mu, \mu^+)_L, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_\tau \\ \tau^+ \end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_\tau, \tau^+)_L, \dots \quad (51)$$

To brighter reveal our ideas one must apply to the structural dependence (37) which states that

$$m_{\nu_l}^{norm} f_{1l}(0) f_{2\nu_l}(0) - m_l^{norm} f_{1\nu_l}(0) f_{2l}(0) = 0. \quad (52)$$

There exists, however, the possibility [19] that charge $f_{1l}(0)$, as follows from (21), leads to the process going either with or without change of incoming particles helicities. Unlike this, the vector dipole moment $f_{2l}(0)$ is responsible only for the interconversion of longitudinal leptons of the different components. Therefore, if it turns out that the same fermion may not be simultaneously both left - handed and right - handed particles, each interference term in (52) indicates to the existence of a kind of the unified system of the two types of leptons of the same families of $SU(2)_L$ - doublets or $SU(2)_R$ - singlets. One can call their the left (right) dileptons. They behave as

$$(l_L^-, \bar{\nu}_{lR}), \quad (l_R^-, \bar{\nu}_{lL}), \quad (53)$$

$$(l_R^+, \nu_{lL}), \quad (l_L^+, \nu_{lR}). \quad (54)$$

One of highly characteristic features of these types of systems is the existence in them of the unified force which unites the structural particles of each flavor symmetrical pair.

Thus, any of equations (37) and (52) describes a connected system of the two united systems of the two types of the left (right) - handed Dirac fermions of the most diverse vector currents. It of course can be called the left (right) paradilepton.

One can define its structure in the form of one of systems

$$\{(l_L^-, \bar{\nu}_{lR}), (l_R^+, \nu_{lL})\}, \quad \{(l_R^-, \bar{\nu}_{lL}), (l_L^+, \nu_{lR})\}. \quad (55)$$

Such paradileptons as well as the united dileptons (53) and (54) appear as a consequence of conservation of lepton flavors, for example, at the elastic scattering on a spinless nucleus [22], if an incoming particle flux in it includes both leptons and their neutrinos.

Insofar as a question about the appearance of the united dileptons

$$(e_L^-, \bar{\nu}_{eR}), \quad (e_R^-, \bar{\nu}_{eL}), \quad (56)$$

$$(e_R^+, \nu_{eL}), \quad (e_L^+, \nu_{eR}) \quad (57)$$

and paradileptons

$$\{(e_L^-, \bar{\nu}_{eR}), (e_R^+, \nu_{eL})\}, \quad \{(e_R^-, \bar{\nu}_{eL}), (e_L^+, \nu_{eR})\} \quad (58)$$

at the β - decay is concerned, we must have in view of that

$$m_{\nu_e}^{norm} f_{1e}(0) f_{2\nu_e}(0) - m_e^{norm} f_{1\nu_e}(0) f_{2e}(0) = 0 \quad (59)$$

owing to which, the electron number in each of processes (16) and (17) is strictly conserved. In this, a great responsibility is said of formation both of dileptons and of paradileptons for the legality of conservation of lepton flavors as well as of full lepton number.

We recognize that at the conservation of gauge invariance, the term $f_{1\nu_l}(0)$ in (18) must be equal to zero. Thus, it would seem one can make an implication about the absence of the neutrino electric mass.

In conformity with the ideas of mass - charge duality [4], this would take place only in the case where a Dirac neutrino is absent. Nevertheless, if we suppose that $m_{\nu_l}^{norm} = 0$ then (37) would lead us to the conclusion about a Majorana nature of any lepton mass. There are, however, many weighty arguments in favor of the self masses not only of leptons but also of their neutrinos [23, 24, 25]. Therefore, to (37) one must apply as to one of further confirmations of a new structure of electromagnetic gauge invariance [14] depending on the fermion mass [21] and testifying of that at the availability of a Dirac mass, each of leptonic neutrinos similarly to a kind of lepton must possess a non - zero electric charge.

Because of this, the cross section (19) contains as well as the terms $f_{1l}^2(0)$ described the charge contributions. Taking $\sigma_{\mu\lambda} = i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\lambda]/2$ and demanding that the Hermiticity of the neutrino - photon vertex is absent even at $q^2 < 0$, we would get the other cross section [13] for the elastic scattering. It should include also the interference term $f_{1l}(0)f_{2l}(0)$ which would characterize the existence in nature of each of pairparticles

$$(l_L, \bar{l}_R), \quad (l_R, \bar{l}_L). \quad (60)$$

It is clear, however, that the Hermiticity of leptonic current is not excluded. Insofar as an individual dileptons (60) are concerned, their scattering on a spinless nucleus can be explained by the self interference contribution to the process cross section (19) of any of the interaction Dirac $f_{1l}(0)$ and Pauli $f_{2l}(0)$ parts. In other words, these phenomena originate in the flavor symmetrical field, in which nobody is in force to observe simultaneously the same lepton regardless of a kind of an antiparticle.

According to charge conservation law, with its violation would originate an instantaneous reestablishment of the structure of Coulomb's field [6]. But in nature no such an order [26, 27, 28] in force of symmetry law.

Conservation of charge come forwards in the system as a consequence of one of the most diverse symmetries [29] of gauge fields before and after the emission. Therefore, the legality of charge conservation at the neutrino interaction may be established only in the case where appear the dileptons and paradileptons. From this point of view, earlier discovered in the experiences [30, 31, 32] processes, for example, from (16), (17) and

$$\gamma e^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e, \quad e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e, \quad (61)$$

$$\nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^-, \quad \bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^- \quad (62)$$

conserving charge and lepton number may serve as the first confirmation of the existence of each of dileptons and paradileptons.

Another consequence of the united connection (37) is an interratio of masses of leptons of the same family of fermions:

$$\frac{m_{\nu_l}^{norm}}{m_l^{norm}} = \frac{f_{1\nu_l}(0) f_{2l}(0)}{f_{1l}(0) f_{2\nu_l}(0)}. \quad (63)$$

This together with the ideas of full lepton number conservation law gives the possibility to directly look at the nature of the neutrino mass

$$\frac{m_{\nu_e}^{norm}}{m_e^{norm}} = \frac{m_{\nu_\mu}^{norm}}{m_\mu^{norm}} = \frac{m_{\nu_\tau}^{norm}}{m_\tau^{norm}} \quad (64)$$

and that, consequently, the suggested theory which unites the leptons and their neutrinos predicts the existence of a connection

$$m_{\nu_e}^{norm} : m_{\nu_\mu}^{norm} : m_{\nu_\tau}^{norm} = m_e^{norm} : m_\mu^{norm} : m_\tau^{norm}. \quad (65)$$

In the same way one can see from (37) that

$$e_{\nu_e}^{norm} : e_{\nu_\mu}^{norm} : e_{\nu_\tau}^{norm} = e_e^{norm} : e_\mu^{norm} : e_\tau^{norm}, \quad (66)$$

$$\mu_{\nu_e}^{norm} : \mu_{\nu_\mu}^{norm} : \mu_{\nu_\tau}^{norm} = \mu_e^{norm} : \mu_\mu^{norm} : \mu_\tau^{norm}. \quad (67)$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$m_{\nu_e} : m_{\nu_\mu} : m_{\nu_\tau} = m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau, \quad (68)$$

$$e_{\nu_e} : e_{\nu_\mu} : e_{\nu_\tau} = e_e : e_\mu : e_\tau, \quad (69)$$

$$\mu_{\nu_e} : \mu_{\nu_\mu} : \mu_{\nu_\tau} = \mu_e : \mu_\mu : \mu_\tau. \quad (70)$$

Thus, it follows that unlike earlier known presentations on the structure of leptonic families [25, 33, 34], the suggested theory of unification of leptons and their neutrinos leads us once again to the correspondence principle according to which, the mass, charge and vector moment of the neutrino proportionally respectively to the mass, charge and vector moment of fermion of the same families of doublets or singlets.

For completeness, we include in the discussion an experimental possibility to rewatch in the nucleus field the Coulomb's behavior of massive Dirac neutrinos. In the case of spinless nuclei, on the elastic scattering influences only the properties of an incoming particle itself. With this was connected the fact [35] that the scattering angle θ_l may be expressed as a function of the neutrino charge $f_{1l}(0)$ and vector dipole $f_{2l}(0)$ form factors:

$$\theta_l = \pm 2 \text{Arctg} \left\{ 4E_l^2 (1 - \eta_l^2)^2 \frac{f_{2l}^2(0)}{f_{1l}^2(0)} - \eta_l^2 \right\}^{-1/2}. \quad (71)$$

It states that the high energy leptons ($E_l \gg m_l$), for which $\eta_l \rightarrow 0$, suffer the scattering almost forward ($\theta_l \rightarrow 0$) namely

$$\theta_l = \pm 2 \text{Arctg} \frac{f_{1l}(0)}{2E_l f_{2l}(0)}. \quad (72)$$

In these conditions, $q^2 \rightarrow 0$, and cross section of unpolarized fermions scattering (23) with the account of $f_{1l}^2(0)/E_l^2 \rightarrow 0$ has the following structure:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{em}^{V_l}(\theta_l)}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{\sin^2 \frac{\theta_l}{2}} f_{2l}^2(0). \quad (73)$$

It is clear that measurement of θ_l for any two values of fast leptons energies allows to define a new laboratory size of the neutrino charge and vector dipole moment [35]. Thereby one can estimate the cross section (73) as well as the constant of subtle structure α whose value has not yet been obtained in the nucleus Coulomb's field with neutrinos.

At such studies, it should be chosen only a nucleus with zero spin and isospin (for example, from ${}^4\text{He}$, ${}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$, ...), so as an account of the target nucleus isotopic structure strongly changes the neutrino properties [36]. Of course, similar measurements require the high sensitivity of devices confirming the fact that a nucleus come forwards in the system as an innate supersensitive detector for observation of the micro world fundamental laws.

References

- [1] M.N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Rev. 79, 615 (1950).
- [2] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 3, 132 (2002); physics/0305014.
- [3] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 3, 86 (2002); physics/0305009.
- [4] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 3, 47 (2002); physics/0305008.
- [5] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 1,176(2000); hep-ph/0305009,v2.
- [6] Ya.B. Zel'dovich and M.Yu. Khlopov, Uspehi Fiz. Nauk. 135, 45 (1981).
- [7] Ya.B. Zel'dovich, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 86, 505 (1952).
- [8] E.J. Konopinsky and H. Mahmoud, Phys. Rev. 92, 1045 (1953).
- [9] A. Van Der Schaaf, in Proceedings of the Summer School on Particle Physics, Zuoz, August 18-24, 2002 (Zürich, Switzerland, 2003), p. 269.
- [10] J. Kubo et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. 109, 795 (2003); hep-ph/0302196.
- [11] T.P. Cheng and Lee Ling-Fond, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 381 (1977).
- [12] B.W. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 937 (1977).
- [13] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ruz. Ser. Math. Tehn. Estest. 7, 25 (1998); hep-ph/0307083.
- [14] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 5, 83 (2004); hep-ph/0306255, v 2.
- [15] T.W. Donnelly and R.D. Peccei, Phys. Rep. 50, 3 (1979).
- [16] S.L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22, 579 (1961); A. Salam and J.C. Ward, Phys. Lett. 13, 168 (1964); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967).
- [17] K. Fujikawa and R.E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 963 (1980).
- [18] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 4, 79 (2003); hep-ph/0401230.
- [19] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Spacetime Subst. 3, 134 (2002); physics/0305015,v2.
- [20] C.V. Weinheimer et al., Phys. Lett. B 460, 219 (1999); V.M. Lobashev et al., Phys. Lett. B 460, 227 (1999).

- [21] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Physics Essays, Vol. 19, No. 1 (2006), in press; hep-ph/0407262, v 2.
- [22] R.S. Sharafiddinov, in Proc. of the 2rd Eurasian Conf. on Nuclear Science and Its Application, Almaty, September 16-19, 2002 (Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2002), p. 146.
- [23] P.Q. Hung, Phys. Rev. D 67, 095011 (2003); hep-ph/0210131.
- [24] M.Jezabek and P.Urban, Phys. Lett. B 541, 142 (2002); hep-ph/0206080.
- [25] P.H. Frampton and P. Vogel, Phys. Rep. 82, 339 (1982); F. Boehm and P. Vogel, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 34, 125 (1984);
- [26] L.B. Okun and Ya.B. Zel'dovich, Phys. Lett. B 78, 597 (1978).
- [27] B.A. Kouz'min and M.J. Shaposhnikov, Pis'ma v Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 27, 665 (1978).
- [28] M.B. Voloshin and L.B. Okun, Pis'ma v Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 28, 156 (1978).
- [29] R.J. Ellis, in Proceedings of the Summer School on Particle Physics, Zuoz, August 18-24, 2002 (Zürich, Switzerland, 2003), p. 1; hep-ph/0211168.
- [30] J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman and G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. 132, 1227 (1963).
- [31] H.S. Gurr, F. Reines and H.W. Sobel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1406 (1972).
- [32] S. Davidson, B. Campbell and K.D. Bailey, Phys. Rev. D 43, 2314 (1991).
- [33] M. Gell-Mann et. al., in Supergravity, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1979.
- [34] T. Yanagida, Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number of Universe, unpublished, 1979.
- [35] R.B. Begzhanov and R.S. Sharafiddinov, in Proc. Int. Conf. on Nuclear Physics, St-Petersburg, June 14-17, 2000 (St-Petersburg, 2000), p. 118.
- [36] R.B. Begzhanov and R.S. Sharafiddinov, in Proc. Int. Conf. on Nuclear Physics, Moscow, June 16-19, 1998 (St-Petersburg, 1998), p. 354.